

**ORDER SHEET**  
**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.

**Present-**

THE HON'BLE SAYEED AHMED BABA, OFFICIATING CHAIRPERSON AND ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER

**Case No. – OA - 280 of 2025**

**Jhuma Kar Das - Vs - The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Serial No. and  
Date of order

01  
13.06.2025

For the Applicant : Mr. S. Kundu,  
Learned Advocate.

For the State : Mr. G. P. Banerjee,  
Respondents Mrs. Priya Sasmal,  
Learned Advocates.

For the Private Mr. B.P. Mondal,  
Respondent No. 6 Learned Advocate.

The matter is taken up by the Single Bench pursuant to the order contained in the Notification No. 638-WBAT/2J-15/2016 (Pt.-II) dated 23rd November, 2022 issued in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 5 (6) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

Affidavit of service filed, be kept with the record.

The applicant has filed this application praying for a direction to the respondent authorities to give compassionate employment to the applicant, being wife of the deceased employee, Constable, Manab Kar.

After hearing the submissions from the learned counsels and after examination of the records, the Tribunal finds that on the very similar prayers an application was filed before this Tribunal being OA-93 of 2025. In that application this applicant Jhuma Kar (Das) was also a party. The prayer recorded in the order dated 04.03.2025 of OA-93 of 2025 is as under :-

*“The applicant has prayed for a direction to the respondent authorities to give compassionate appointment to her being the daughter of the deceased employee, Manab Kar.”*

**ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

**Jhuma Kar Das**

Vs.

Case No **OA - 280 of 2025**

**THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTHERS.**

---

The Tribunal had disposed of this application by an order dated 04.03.2025 directing the Superintendent of Police, Barasat Police District to examine all the documents presented by the parties and take a final decision relating to compassionate employment and release family pension and other terminal benefits. It has been submitted that although a hearing was taken by the respondent authority but no decision could be taken due to serious differences amongst the parties. The Tribunal is of the view that since self same prayer was adjudicated by an another application OA-93 of 2025 in which this applicant was also a party, therefore, admission of this application is barred by resjudicata and not admissible. This application is dismissed.

**(SAYEED AHMED BABA)**

**OFFICIATING CHAIRPERSON AND MEMBER (A)**

SC